CHARTER PROTECTION	
San Martin	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## **COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0250701 DATE: <u>8/30/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:20PM</u> DEPART: <u>2:50PM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: SAI DRY CLEANERS						
FACILITY LOCATION: 2184 NE 123RD ST						
NORTH MIAMI 33181-2	2902					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SUNIL Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/15/2009 / 10/15/2014 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:					
* <b></b>						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check						
PART II:FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check $\square$ only one box in A)- Rule 62-21A. 1.Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)-3.Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 $\leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, 200 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr both types, 140 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)5.Ineligible for General Permit d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limitsBThe sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (percenter)	<ul> <li>13.300 FAC</li> <li>2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x &lt; 140 gal/yr transfer only, x &lt; 200 gal/yr both types, x &lt; 140 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)</li> <li>4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ x ≤ 2,100 gal/yr transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)</li> <li>erc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry</li> </ul>					

cleaning facility was 75.00 gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL C</u>	<u>CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check ☑ x for each c	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes	containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers	leak free ?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors l	cept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
	ained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least osal?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
routed the air-PCE gas through a refrigerated of inside the dry cleaning equivalent control devi machine is opened? The	system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, -vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or ce immediately before the door of the dry cleaning the carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with ions.		Yes	□ No	N/A
	ios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	□ No	N/A

## PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

(Refer to Part II-A.1.-4. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form)

1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.

2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.

3. If the fa cility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber . **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993* 

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:		`	check ☑ x for each o	only one question)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	$\square$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded $45^{\circ}$ F?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	

PA	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
<b>B.</b> 1.	<b>For all existing large or new large area sources:</b> Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	No	N/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than $20^{\circ}$ F?		Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber,	_			_
	if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	No	∐ N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend,				
	contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

PA	RT V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC			check 🗹 ox for each c	only one [uestion]
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:				
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained , if applicable?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 onl	y one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each ques	tion)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used		
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to		
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate		
	procedure) ? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer		
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of		
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along		
	the interface periphery?	Yes 🗌 No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or		
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per		
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes 🗌 No 🔀	N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations		
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and		
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting		
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or touch) while the	2
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?		
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible lea	ks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating 🖾 Yes 🔲 No 🔲 N/A h) Stills 🖾 Y		N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a haloge	genated hydrocarbon de	etector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	graph shall satisfy the	
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $(3.322(k) \text{ or } (l))$		
	b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills Xes Construction Stills	Yes     No       Yes     No       Yes     No       Yes     No       Yes     No       Yes     No	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
<ul> <li>9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required?</li> <li>Leak log documentation RO Assurances On-site observation other</li> <li>Explain other :</li> </ul>						
MARUFUL MALIK	8/30/2010					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
	8/30/2011					
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection						
<b>COMMENTS:</b> On August 30, 2010 I visited this facility to	to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met Sunil					

**COMMENTS:** On August 30, 2010 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met Sunil Kurani, the owner of the facility. No leaks were detected in the dry cleaning machine. Perc purchase receipts and yearly perc consumption records were available.